

Obligatory Guidelines for Scientific Publication Authors

Contributors must submit reliable results of the conducted study. Admittedly inaccurate or fabricated statements are not allowed.

The contributors must guarantee full authenticity of the study results given in the submitted typescript. Borrowed fragments or statements must be followed by indication of the author and source document. Excess borrowing and any forms of plagiarism, including improperly attributed quotes, rephrasing or appropriation of other authors' rights to the results of their studies, are unethical and unacceptable.

One must acknowledge the contribution of all persons who worked on the study anyhow. Thus, your article must give references to papers that made the difference during the study.

The contributors must not submit typescripts that are already submitted to some other journal or articles already published in some other journal.

All persons who contributed considerably to the study must be acknowledged as co-authors of the article. It is not allowed to mention persons who did not take part in the study as co-authors.

If a contributor detects essential errors or discrepancies in his/her article while it is being assessed or after publishing, he/she must notify the journal editorial department.

Principles of Professional Ethics for Editors and Publishers

When making a decision to publish a paper, a scientific journal editor must look to reliability of the submitted data and scientific importance of the reviewed paper.

The editor must evaluate intellectual contents of typescripts regardless of the race, gender, sexual orientation, religious views, descent, citizenship, social rank or political preferences of the contributors.

Unpublished data from the typescripts submitted for review must not be used for personal advantage or disclosed to third parties without written consent of the contributor. Information or ideas acquired during editing and related to potential benefits must remain confidential and must not be used for personal advantage.

The editor must not release information for publishing if there is a good reason to consider it as plagiarism.

The editor and publisher must not leave claims related to reviewed typescripts or published materials without a response. In case of a conflict, they must take all the necessary measures to restore infringed rights.

Principles of Ethics for Reader

A typescript submitted for reviewing must be considered to be confidential and must not be disclosed to third parties unauthorized by the editorial department for studying or discussion.

The reader must give unbiased and well-reasoned assessment of the study results. Criticizing of contributors as individuals is not allowed.

Unpublished data acquired from submitted typescripts shall not be used by the reader for personal advantage.

A reader who believes that he/she is not qualified to assess the typescript must notify the editor and ask to be relieved from reviewing of the typescript.